

# **Emergency Action Plan**

Laramie River Station Owned by Missouri Basin Power Project Operated by Basin Electric Power Cooperative Wheatland, Wyoming

AECOM Project Number: 60704138 September 20, 2023

# **Emergency Action Plan For**

Laramie River Station

347 Grayrocks Road, Wheatland, WY

County: Platte County

Owner: Missouri Basin Power Project

Operator: Basin Electric Power Cooperative – Laramie River Station

Contact: Dave Cummings

Telephone: <u>307-322-9601 Ext. 3194</u>

Cell: 307-375-1270

Owner Mailing Address: 1717 East Interstate Avenue

Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-0564

#### Quality information

Prepared by

Neil Furset

Checked by

Approved by lomas, P.E. remy T

Neil Funseth

## Shannon Allen, P.E.

#### **Certification Statement**

**CCR Units:** Basin Electric Power Cooperative; Laramie River Station; Bottom Ash Ponds 1, 2, & 3; East and West Emergency Holding Ponds

I, Jeremy Thomas, being a Registered Professional Engineer in good standing in the State of Wyoming, do hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that the information contained in this Emergency Action Plan has been prepared in accordance with the accepted practice of engineering. I certify, for the above referenced CCR Units, that the Emergency Action Plan dated September 20, 2023 meets the requirements of 40 CFR § 257.73.



### Prepared for:

Mr. Mark Dihle Basin Electric Power Cooperative 1717 East Interstate Avenue Bismarck, North Dakota 58503-0564 Mr. David Cummings Basin Electric Power Cooperative-LRS Station 347 Grayrocks Road Wheatland, WY 82201

### Prepared by:

Neil Funseth AECOM 2985 S Ridge Rd # B Green Bay, WI 54304 aecom.com

Copyright © 2023 by AECOM

## **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction	
	1.1 Purpose and Intent	1
	1.2 EAP Summary	1
	1.3 Description of Impoundments	2
2.	Safety Emergency	3
	2.1 Definition of Safety Emergency	3
	2.2 EAP Response Process	
	2.2.1 Incident Detection and Evaluation	3
	2.2.2 Emergency Level Determination	3
	2.2.2.1 High Flow Level of Emergency	4
	2.2.2.2 Non-Failure Level of Emergency	4
	2.2.2.3 Potential Failure Level of Emergency	4
	2.2.2.4 Imminent Failure Level of Emergency	4
	2.2.3 Notification and Communication	5
	2.2.3.1 EAP Notification Flowchart	5
	2.2.3.2 Notification to Emergency Management Authorities	
	2.2.3.3 Status Updates	
	2.2.4 Emergency Actions	
	2.2.4.1 High Flow Level of Emergency	
	2.2.4.2 Non-failure Level of Emergency	
	2.2.4.3 Potential Failure Level of Emergency	
	2.2.4.3.1 Embankment Deficiencies	
	2.2.4.3.2 Seepage Through Embankment	
	2.2.4.4 Imminent Failure Level of Emergency	
	2.2.5 Termination and Follow-Up	
	2.2.5.1 Reentry and Recovery	
	2.2.5.2 After Action Review	
3.	Hydraulic Shadow Map	
4.	General Responsibilities	
	4.1 Owner Responsibilities	
	4.2 Notification and Communication Responsibilities	
	4.2.1 Notification Flowchart	
	4.2.2 Emergency Notification Lists	
	4.2.3 Media Contact	
	4.3 Evacuation Responsibilities	
	4.4 Monitoring, Security, Termination, and Follow-up Responsibilities	
	4.5 EAP Coordinator Responsibilities	
5.	Preparedness	
•	5.1 Surveillance and Monitoring	
	5.2 Evaluation of Detection and Response Timing	
	5.3 Access to the Site	
	5.4 Response During Periods of Darkness	
	5.5 Response During Weekends and Holidays	
	5.6 Response During Adverse Weather	
	5.7 Alternative Sources of Power	
	5.8 Emergency Supplies and Information	
	5.8.1 Materials and Equipment	
		. 10

5.8.2	Available Resources	13
5.9	Coordination of Information	13
5.10	Annual Review, Training, and Testing	13
5.11	Alternative Systems of Communication	14
5.12	Public Awareness and Communication	14

### **Tables**

Table 1.	CCR Surface Im	poundment Description .		2
----------	----------------	-------------------------	--	---

## **Appendices**

Appendix A – Figures

- A.1 Site Location Map
- A.2 Site Vicinity Map
- A.3 Facility Layout Diagram
- A.4 Adjacent Property Owners Map
- A.5 Hydraulic Shadow Map
- A.6 Impoundment Plan and Sections
- A.7 Dam Failure Analysis Summary Tables
- Appendix B Charts and Tables
  - B.1 Summary of EAP Responsibilities
  - B.2 Summary of Owner Responsibilities
  - B.3 Guidance for Determining the Emergency Level
  - B.4 Level of Emergency Determination Chart
  - B.5 Notification Flowcharts
  - B.6 Available Resources Chart
- Appendix C Blank Forms and Log Sheets
  - C.1 Concurrence
  - C.2 Communication Documentation Chart
  - C.3 List of Holders, Receipt Confirmation, and Emergency Action Plan Updates
  - C.4 Emergency Incident Log
  - C.5 Emergency Termination Log

Appendix D – Glossary

# 1. Introduction

## 1.1 Purpose and Intent

This Emergency Action Plan (EAP) was developed to provide a single source of information in the event of an emergency as required for CCR impoundments determined to be either a high hazard potential or significant hazard potential CCR impoundment per section §257.73 of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Final Rule: Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals from Electric Utilities.

The purpose of an Emergency Action Plan (EAP) is to provide the owner/operator of the CCR impoundments with a clear plan of action when any emergency arises. An emergency is identified as any condition which:

- Develops unexpectedly;
- Endangers the structural integrity of the impoundment; and
- Could result in the impoundment's failure, requiring immediate action.

By writing and implementing an EAP the owner/operator of the impoundment can reduce the risk of human life loss or injury and minimize property damage during an unusual or emergency event.

This is an EAP for the five (5) CCR surface impoundments operated by Basin Electric Power Cooperative (BEPC) at the Laramie River Station (LRS) in Wheatland, Wyoming. The EAP provides a description of the impoundments and the area at risk as well as contact information for all parties involved in responding to or affected by an emergency at the impoundments. The EAP outlines what actions are required in the event of an emergency.

## 1.2 EAP Summary

This document includes:

- Definition of the events or circumstances involving the five CCR impoundments that represent a safety emergency and the procedures that will be followed to detect a safety emergency in a timely manner.
- Site location map delineating the downstream area which would be affected in the event of a CCR impoundment failure.
- Contact telephone numbers for individuals that must be contacted in the event of an emergency, their respective responsibilities, and notification procedures.
- Procedures following an emergency at the impoundments.
- Provisions for an annual face-to-face meeting or exercise between representatives of the CCR unit and the local emergency responders.

The plan will be implemented once events or circumstances involving the CCR impoundment represent a safety emergency is detected, including conditions identified during periodic structural stability assessments, annual inspections, and inspections by a qualified person. The responsibilities for responding to an incident and implementing the plan are included in the Summary of EAP Responsibilities and Summary of Owner Responsibilities in **Appendix B**. One copy of this plan will be kept at the Laramie River Station office.

The plan will be amended if the owner or operator of the CCR impoundments whenever there is a change in conditions that would substantially affect the EAP in effect. This plan will, at a minimum, be evaluated every five years to ensure the information required is accurate. If the owner or operator of the CCR impoundment determines during a periodic hazard potential assessment that the CCR impoundments are no longer classified as significant hazard potential CCR impoundments, then the owner or operator is no longer subject to the requirement to prepare and maintain a written EAP.

## 1.3 Description of Impoundments

The Laramie River Station (LRS) is located at 347 Grayrocks Road in Wheatland, Wyoming. LRS has five (5) CCR surface impoundments which are described in **Table 1**.

	Bottom Ash Pond 1	Bottom Ash Pond 2	Bottom Ash Pond 3	East Emergency Holding Pond	West Emergency Holding Pond
Type of Impoundment	Earthen	Earthen	Earthen	Earthen	Earthen
Height of Impoundment	25.0 feet	25.0 feet	50.0 feet	20.5 feet	20.5 feet
Max Impoundment Storage Capacity	995 acre-feet (Ponds 1 & 2 Combined)		756 acre-feet	995 acre-feet (East and West Ponds Combined)	
Use of Impoundment	CCR Operations	CCR Operations	CCR Operations	CCR Operations	CCR Operations
Hazard Rating	Significant	Significant	Significant	Significant	Significant

#### Table 1. CCR Surface Impoundment Description

Bottom Ash Ponds 1, 2, and 3 are located immediately north of Grayrocks Road in the southwest portion of the property. The East and West Emergency Holding Ponds are located north of the Laramie River Station plant and immediately northeast of the on-site railroad in the northeast portion of the property. The locations of the impoundments are shown on the Facility Layout Diagram included in **Appendix A**.

AECOM prepared a hazard potential classification assessment in March 2016 for each of the five (5) CCR surface impoundments. Significant upstream and downstream features which could be affected by a failure are included on the Hydraulic Shadow Maps included in **Appendix A**.

Between 2016 and 2023, Bottom Ash Ponds 1 and 2 were retrofitted and a new liner system was installed maintaining the same footprint and storage volume of the units. Bottom Ash Pond 3 was partially retrofitted and the footprint of the impoundment was reduced by constructing a dividing berm within the historic impoundment footprint. The eastern portion of the impoundment remains active and accepts CCR and liquids. The western portion of the impoundment was capped and no longer accepts CCR or liquids. The maximum impoundment storage capacity has been revised in the above table to reflect this change in capacity and accounts for only the eastern, active portion of the impoundment.

The footprint of the East Emergency holding pond was also modified by constructing a separation berm and the active area of the East Emergency holding pond was reduced. Closure of the eastern portion of the East Emergency holding pond and the West Emergency holding pond has not yet been completed at the time of the preparation of this EAP and the storage capacities of those impoundments remain unchanged. The activities conducted over the last few years have increased the safety margin in case of a failure and the facility is actively working to remove the hazards posed by catastrophic failure.

# 2. Safety Emergency

## 2.1 Definition of Safety Emergency

A safety incident is an impending or actual sudden uncontrolled release or excessive controlled release of water from an impounding structure. The release may be caused by damage to or failure of the structure, flood conditions unrelated to failure, or any condition that may affect safe operation. The release of water may or may not endanger human life, downstream property, or the operation of the structure.

LRS is a zero-discharge facility and does not discharge water from the bottom ash ponds or emergency ponds. All water discharged to the ponds, via sluicing, or precipitation is held within the ponds and/or recirculated to the plant. The recirculation of water between plant and these ponds is balanced whereas water is withdrawn from the ponds at nearly the same rate as it is discharged (sluiced) to the ponds. During normal plant operation, there is no net change in pond levels.

Plant operators perform operating inspections of the plant facilities once per (8-hour) shift and visually monitor water levels in the pond and observe the embankments for any deficiencies. Emergency action should be taken if the pool elevation is 1.5 feet below the as-built top of impoundment or if deficiencies are observed in the perimeter embankments.

AECOM performed a slope stability evaluation in October 2016 and reevaluated the stability evaluation in 2021 to evaluate the existing CCR impoundment dikes and native subgrade soils with regard to static and seismic slope stability as recommended by the EPA Site Assessment Report. Based on the results of the stability evaluation, the perimeter dikes for all five CCR impoundments were considered stable with respect to normal, flood, temporary construction, and seismic conditions.

## 2.2 EAP Response Process

There are generally five steps that should be followed when an unusual or emergency incident is detected. The steps constitute the EAP response process and are as follows:

- 1. Incident detection and evaluation
- 2. Emergency level determination
- 3. Notification and communication
- 4. Emergency Actions
- 5. Termination and follow-up

These steps are discussed further in the following subsections.

#### 2.2.1 Incident Detection and Evaluation

An incident would be considered an unusual or abnormal condition and could be observed using the following:

- 1. Detecting existing or potential failures.
- 2. Measuring water level. Normal water level within the impoundments should be 1.5 feet below the design embankment crest elevation.
- 3. Reviewing monitoring equipment such as sensors or early warning systems.
- 4. Checking instrumentation.
- 5. Analyzing and confirming data.

#### 2.2.2 Emergency Level Determination

After an unusual event or incident is detected and confirmed, the event should be categorized into one of the established emergency levels based on the severity of the initiating condition or triggering events. The levels of emergency are:

- High Flow
- Non-Failure
- Potential Failure
- Imminent Failure

It is important to determine the severity of the emergency before responding to an unusual event at the impoundments. The *Guidance for Determining the Emergency Level* table and *Level of Emergency Determination Chart* included in **Appendix B** are to be used to determine the severity of the emergency and to guide the owner/operator's actions during an emergency response. Descriptions of the levels of emergency are provided in the following subsections.

#### 2.2.2.1 High Flow Level of Emergency

The High Flow emergency level indicates that flooding is occurring at LRS, but there is no apparent threat to the integrity of the impoundment. The High Flow emergency level is used by the owner to convey to outside agencies that downstream areas may be affected by the impoundment's release. Although the amount of flooding may be beyond the control of the owner, information on the timing and amount of release from the impoundment may be helpful to authorities in making decisions regarding warnings and evacuations.

#### 2.2.2.2 Non-Failure Level of Emergency

The Non-Failure emergency level is appropriate for an event that will not, by itself, lead to a failure, but requires investigation and notification of internal and/or external personnel. Examples are:

- 1. New seepage or leakage on the downstream side of the impoundment.
- 2. Presence of unauthorized personnel.
- 3. Malfunction of the pump system used to balance the flow of the site.

Some incidents may only require internal response, whereas others may lead to unexpected high releases that could pose a hazard to the downstream public and would require the notification of outside agencies.

#### 2.2.2.3 Potential Failure Level of Emergency

The Potential Failure emergency level indicates that conditions are developing that could lead to a failure. Examples are:

- 1. Rising reservoir levels that are approaching the top of the non-overflow section of the impoundment
- 2. Transverse cracking of an embankment
- 3. A verified bomb threat.

Potential Failure should convey that time is available for analyses, decisions, and actions before the impoundments could fail. A failure may occur, but predetermined response actions may moderate or alleviate failure.

#### 2.2.2.4 Imminent Failure Level of Emergency

The Imminent Failure emergency level indicates that time has run out, and the impoundment has failed, is failing, or is about to fail. Imminent Failure typically involves a continuing and progressive loss of material from the impoundment. It is not usually possible to determine how long a complete breach of the impoundment will take. Therefore, once a decision is made that there is no time to prevent failure, the Imminent Failure warning must be issued. For purposes of evacuation, emergency management authorities may assume the worst-case condition that failure has already occurred.

## 2.2.3 Notification and Communication

#### 2.2.3.1 EAP Notification Flowchart

After the emergency level at the dam has been determined, notifications are made in accordance with the EAP Notification Flowchart. The purpose of the EAP Notification Flowchart is to provide a visual map of who is to be notified, the order of notification, and who is responsible for notifying various individuals and agencies/organizations. The Notification Flowchart can be customized based on the level of emergency as determined under the Level of Emergency Determination Chart.

The Agency/Organization Notification List should be used as a quick reference for contact information for the Notification Flowchart. It can be customized based on the level of the emergency.

The Emergency Action Plan Notification Flowchart for the LRS impoundments can be found in **Appendix B** and was last updated on the date shown on the bottom of the page. The Agency/Organization Notification List can be found in **Appendix B** and was last updated on the date shown on the bottom of the page. The Notification Flowchart will be activated with a telephone call to the Laramie River Station Contact, Dave Cummings. Contact with Platte County Emergency Management will be maintained throughout the emergency by phone. See **Appendix B** for the Emergency Communication Plan.

#### 2.2.3.2 Notification to Emergency Management Authorities

When performing notification and communication activities, it is important that people speak in clear, nontechnical terms to ensure those being notified understand what is happening, what the current emergency level is, and which actions to take. To assist in this step, pre-scripted messages to help the caller adequately describe the emergency situation to emergency management authorities are included on the Notification Flowcharts included in **Appendix B**.

#### 2.2.3.3 Status Updates

After initial notification, the owner should make periodic status reports to the affected emergency authorities and other stakeholders in accordance with the Notification Flowcharts. If it appears that the situation is continuing to deteriorate despite actions being taken to moderate or alleviate the failure, local authorities may decide to change their course of action. Depending on location of downstream residents and the estimated time required to warn them, the evacuating agencies may consider early evacuation or continued warnings until the emergency has passed.

#### 2.2.4 Emergency Actions

After the initial notifications have been made, the owner will act to save the impoundments and minimize impacts to life, property, and the environment. During this step, there is a continuous process of taking actions, assessing the status of the situation, and keeping others informed through communication channels established during the initial notifications. The EAP may go through multiple emergency levels as the situation improves or deteriorates. The following subsections include specific actions to minimize impacts. The downstream areas which would be affected in an emergency are indicated on the Shadow Map included in **Appendix A** and discussed further in Section 3 of this EAP.

#### 2.2.4.1 High Flow Level of Emergency

In the event that pool elevations exceed 1.5 feet below the design embankment crest elevation, the following procedures should be followed:

1. Monitor remaining freeboard between interconnected ponds. Ponds with lesser remaining freeboard shall be pumped toward ponds having greater remaining freeboard utilizing the on-site pumps located in the pump houses.

#### 2.2.4.2 Non-failure Level of Emergency

In the event that a situation is not normal but has not yet threatened the operation or structural integrity of the impoundment(s), the following procedures should be followed:

- The impoundment should be inspected by personnel at LRS. At a minimum, inspect the full length of the upstream slope, crest, downstream toe, and downstream slope of the embankment. Also check the impoundment area for signs of changing conditions. If increased seepage, erosion, cracking, or settlement is observed, immediately report the observed conditions to the EAP coordinator and refer to the emergency level table in **Appendix B** for guidance in determining the appropriate event level for the new condition.
- 2. Record all contacts that were made and record all information, observations, and actions taken. Note the time of changing conditions. Document the situation with photographs and video, if possible.

#### 2.2.4.3 Potential Failure Level of Emergency

In the event that a situation may eventually lead to embankment failure and flash flooding downstream but there is not an immediate threat of dam failure, the following procedures should be followed:

- 1. Initiate contacts as outlined in the Notification Flowchart in **Appendix B** and inform parties that the EAP has been activated and, if conditions get worse, the emergency level may increase and the emergency situation may require evacuation. Preparations should be made for possible road closures and evacuations.
- 2. Provide updates to the Platte County Emergency Management Coordinator to assist them in making timely decisions concerning the need for warnings, road closures, and evacuations.
- 3. If time permits, inspect the impoundment. At a minimum, inspect the full length of the upstream slope, crest, downstream toe, and downstream slope of the embankment. Also check the impoundment area for signs of changing conditions. If piping, increased seepage, erosion, cracking, or settlement is observed, immediately report the observed conditions to the Platte County Emergency Management Coordinator and refer to the emergency level table in **Appendix B** for guidance in determining the appropriate event level for the new condition.
- 4. Record all contacts that were made and record all information, observations, and actions taken. Note the time of changing conditions. Document the situation with photographs and video, if possible.
- 5. If time permits, remedial actions should be taken for the conditions described in the following sections.

#### 2.2.4.3.1 Embankment Deficiencies

In the event that deficiencies are observed in the perimeter embankments, the following procedures should be followed:

- 1. Lower the water within the impoundment to a level below the observed deficiency. Water in adjacent impoundments may also need to be lowered to maintain an equal head of pressure against the berm between impoundments.
- 2. Install temporary controls as necessary to control the deficiency.
- 3. Perform a field investigation to determine the cause of the deficiency.
- 4. Determine and implement corrective measures.

#### 2.2.4.3.2 Seepage Through Embankment

A subsurface investigation performed by AECOM encountered granular and unsaturated materials in the dike and native foundation soils. In addition, the impoundments are lined. During the EPA Site Specific

Assessment, seepage along the impoundment dikes were not observed, which suggests the pond liners are functioning as designed and are generally limiting seepage through the impoundment dikes.

Minor amounts of seepage will occur through most liner materials; however a significant tear, puncture or deterioration of the liners could cause significant seepage. Significant seepage is typically identified by saturated soil conditions and/or soft, wet, compressible pockets of soil on the exterior slopes of the dikes.

Consistent, long-term seepage is often accompanied by the growth of phreatophytic (i.e. water loving) vegetation in the area where seepage is occurring.

In the event that seepage is observed in the perimeter embankments, the following procedures should be followed:

- 1. Lower the water within the impoundment to a level below the seepage. Water in adjacent impoundments may also need to be lowered to maintain an equal head of pressure against the berm between impoundments.
- 2. Perform a field investigation to determine the cause of the seepage.
- 3. Determine and implement corrective measures.

#### 2.2.4.4 Imminent Failure Level of Emergency

In the event that embankment failure is imminent or in progress, the following actions should be taken:

- 1. Contact the Platte County Emergency Management Coordinator and others listed on the Notification Flowchart in **Appendix B** immediately.
- 2. The Platte County Emergency Management Coordinator shall lead the efforts to carry out warnings, close roads, and evacuate people at risk downstream.
- 3. The Platte County Emergency Management Coordinator shall alert the general public and immediately evacuate at-risk people and close roads as necessary.
- 4. Maintain continuous communication and provide the Platte County Emergency Management Coordinator with updates of the situation to assist him/her in making timely decisions concerning warnings and evacuations.
- 5. Record all contacts that were made and record all information, observations, and actions taken. Note the time of changing conditions. Document the situation with photographs and video, if possible.
- 6. Advise people monitoring the embankment to follow safe procedures. Everyone should stay away from any of the failing structures or slopes and out of the potential breach inundation areas.

#### 2.2.5 Termination and Follow-Up

Generally, the owner, or owner's dam safety expert is responsible for notifying the authorities that the emergency condition has been stabilized. Government officials are responsible for declaring an end to the public emergency response.

The following subsections discuss termination and follow up procedures once the incident has been resolved. An Emergency Termination Log is included in **Appendix C** to document conditions and decisions.

#### 2.2.5.1 Reentry and Recovery

Under potential failure and imminent failure scenarios, the emergency at the LRS Impoundments will not be considered over until inspected by owner's engineer and the Platte County Emergency Management have been consulted. Evacuated residents will be allowed to return based on the plan developed by the Platte County Emergency Management.

Once the emergency is declared over, owner's engineer will inspect the impoundments for any damage. The review may result in formal orders issued to the owner and may require the submittal of plans and specifications for repair.

#### 2.2.5.2 After Action Review

After an impoundment emergency is ended, a review of the event should take place as soon as practicable. If the review does not take place within 10 to 14 days of the emergency, valuable data may be lost. The following should be discussed and evaluated:

- 1. Events or conditions leading up to, during, and following the incident
- 2. Significant actions taken by each participant and improvements for future emergencies
- 3. All strengths and deficiencies found in the incident management process, materials, equipment, staffing levels, and leadership. The review will determine what was done correctly during the EAP activation, what was done incorrectly and what could be improved.
- 4. Corrective actions identified and a planned course of action to implement recommendations.

The results should be documented in an After Action Report and used as a basis for revising the EAP. Any needed changes to the LRS Impoundment EAP will be made by Basin Electric Power Cooperative. An updated EAP including an updated Approval/Concurrence will be provided to all holders of the EAP. A copy of the updated EAP will be kept in the shift supervisor's office and weekend duty superintendent's office.

# 3. Hydraulic Shadow Map

The purpose of the Hydraulic Shadow Map, or inundation map, is to provide a picture of the area that could be affected by a hypothetical failure of the impoundment in order to determine who must be notified and/or evacuated during an emergency and the timeliness to facilitate notification and evacuation.

The Hydraulic Shadow Maps for the LRS impoundments were produced by AECOM in 2017 based on the information from the Dam Failure Analysis. The maps can be found in **Appendix A** and was last updated on the date shown on the bottom of the page. For further information on the method used to produce the maps, please contact Basin Electric Power Cooperative.

Several Hydraulic Shadow Maps were prepared in order to identify impacted areas based on the location of a breach in the impoundment. Due to the proximity of the 5 CCR impoundments to one another, the East and West Emergency Hold Ponds were combined in one Dam Failure Analysis and in general, Ponds 1, 2, and 3 were combined in another analysis. Each map indicates inundation zones, cross section information, streets, buildings, railroads, bridges, and any other significant features.

The Adjacent Property Owners Map included in **Appendix A** identifies residences, businesses, bridges, and other structures such as roads, power lines, sewer, gas and water lines and other infrastructure that could be affected by the failure of the impoundment. Highlighted evacuation routes are also included on the map. Emergency response procedures will be similar for all 5 CCR impoundments; however the Hydraulic Shadow Maps show that different areas of the site will be impacted depending on where a breach in the impoundment occurs.

AECOM has reviewed the hydraulic shadow maps based on the current configuration of the site which is present as a result of the retrofit and closure activities that have been performed. Activities undertaken over the last few years have made the site safer; the potential for a catastrophic incident has been lessened and will continue to shrink as closure plans are implemented. The hydraulic shadow maps from 2017 are considered to be conservative based on the revised storage capacity resulting from the retrofit of the East Emergency Holding Pond, and retrofit and partial closure of Bottom Ash Pond 3 and are considered to be appropriate for use in this Emergency Action Plan.

# 4. General Responsibilities

The owner is responsible for developing and maintaining the EAP. Owners and emergency management authorities are responsible for implementing the EAP. The Emergency Incident Log form in **Appendix C** should be used to document incident-related events by all entities involved with EAP implementation. The following subsections specify the responsibilities of all entities to ensure that effective and timely action is taken if an emergency occurs.

## 4.1 Owner Responsibilities

The owner is responsible for detecting and evaluating the safety incident, classifying the incident, notifying emergency management authorities, and taking appropriate response actions. Refer to Section 2.2.3 of this EAP for operator duties for given emergency response situations.

## 4.2 Notification and Communication Responsibilities

## 4.2.1 Notification Flowchart

Notifications are made in accordance with the EAP Notification Flowchart. Refer to Section 2.2.2.1 of this EAP for additional information and the Notification Flowchart in **Appendix B**.

## 4.2.2 Emergency Notification Lists

Emergency Notification Lists are lists of the names, addresses and telephone numbers of individuals, businesses, critical facilities and other entities who would be affected by a failure of the impoundments and who must be notified and/or evacuated in an emergency. The lists have been grouped based on the severity of the emergency. The Emergency Notification Lists for the LRS Impoundments can be found in **Appendix B** and were last updated on the date shown on the bottom of the page.

## 4.2.3 Media Contact

Interaction with the media should be implemented through the local or State emergency management authority. These agencies should have a Public Information Officer (PIO) and/or a Joint Information Center for disseminating information and handling inquiries.

Local emergency management authorities may activate an Emergency Operations Center (EOC) to serve as a central co-ordination center for emergency response, warning, and evacuation activities. The owner or their representative should go to the EOC to help agency personnel understand the project specific information and inundation maps.

Proper co-ordination and communication between the on-site technical personnel, PIOs and emergency personnel at the EOC are of critical importance to the successful implementation of the EAP. These activities should be thoroughly tested during comprehensive EAP exercises and modified as necessary.

## 4.3 Evacuation Responsibilities

Warning and evacuation planning and implementation are responsibilities of local emergency management authorities with the legal authority to perform these actions. Under the EAP, the owner is responsible for notifying the appropriate emergency management authority when an incident is anticipated, is imminent, or has occurred. The local emergency management authority is responsible for notifying and evacuating affected people, as necessary.

Owners should not assume or usurp the responsibility of government entities for evacuation of people. However, there may be situations in which routine notification and evacuation will not be sufficient, as in the case of a residence located immediately downstream that would be inundated within minutes of a failure. In some cases, owners may arrange to notify the residence directly. Such procedures should be coordinated with the appropriate authorities before an emergency situation develops.

## 4.4 Monitoring, Security, Termination, and Follow-up Responsibilities

A person should be designated as an onsite monitor from the beginning of a safety incident until the emergency has been terminated. This person should provide status updates to the owner so the owner can keep all those involved with the implementation of the EAP informed of developing conditions.

Termination of a safety emergency is usually twofold. The entity that activates the EAP is usually responsible for determining when the safety situation has stabilized. This is typically the owner in consultation with engineers and safety experts but may include other State and Federal regulatory entities. The applicable emergency management authorities, on the other hand, are responsible for termination of the emergency response activities, including termination of an evacuation. Both the owner and the emergency response authorities should coordinate closely while making decisions to terminate both the safety event and response efforts.

Recovery activities will continue on different levels for all involved in the safety incident after the emergency has been terminated.

The owner should coordinate a follow-up evaluation after any emergency. All participants should be involved in this evaluation and should keep logs and records during the incident. An Emergency Incident Log and Emergency Termination Log are included in **Appendix C**. The results of the follow-up evaluation should be documented in a written report (After Action Report) and used to improve future response actions.

## 4.5 EAP Coordinator Responsibilities

The EAP Coordinator will be responsible for overall EAP-related activities, including but not limited to preparing revisions to the EAP, establishing training seminars, and coordinating EAP exercises. The LRS EAP coordinator is Dave Cummings and is the EAP contact for questions about the plan.

# 5. **Preparedness**

Preparedness typically consists of activities and actions taken before the development of an incident. Preparedness activities attempt to facilitate response to an incident as well as prevent, moderate, or alleviate the effects of the incident. The following subsections relate to preparedness actions.

## 5.1 Surveillance and Monitoring

Prompt detection and evaluation of information from instrumentation and physical monitoring is critical to the effectiveness of the EAP and timely emergency response. The LRS impoundments are inspected by plant operators once per (8-hour) shift and visually monitor water levels in the pond. No other instrumentation monitoring equipment is in place.

## 5.2 Evaluation of Detection and Response Timing

Total EAP implementation time from the initiation of an actual incident to determination of an emergency situation and notification of appropriate entities involved with implementation should be evaluated and understood.

## 5.3 Access to the Site

The primary access to reach the site by vehicle from the Town of Wheatland is from Highway WY-320 to Grayrocks Road. In the event that Grayrocks Road becomes flooded, East Fairview Road should be used as a secondary access route from Highway WY-320. Access to the site from the Town of Wheatland is anticipated to take about 15 to 20 minutes from both the primary and secondary route.

During an imminent failure emergency event, on-site roads, field trails, and the railroad near the impoundments should not be utilized in the event that these access points become flooded or damaged during the event. Coal is brought in by rail from the northwest, so the railroad should be contacted and notified that access to the site may become compromised.

Primary and secondary access routes for reaching the site are shown on the site location map included in **Appendix B**.

## 5.4 Response During Periods of Darkness

The Laramie River Station has lights near the pump houses but does not have any on-site lighting around the impoundments. If an event is identified during periods of darkness, light plants stored at the yard maintenance should be used to illuminate the area where failures could occur.

During a power failure, on-site backup diesel generators stored at the yard maintenance should be used to operate equipment where manual operation is not feasible. Diesel fuel is stored on-site in the fuel tanks.

## 5.5 Response During Weekends and Holidays

The Laramie River Station is operated 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Therefore, no special response is needed during weekends and holidays. Normal procedures should be followed.

## 5.6 Response During Adverse Weather

The Laramie River Station is operated 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Therefore, no special response is needed during adverse weather. Normal procedures should be followed. Refer to Section 5.3 for primary and secondary access to the site.

## 5.7 Alternative Sources of Power

Diesel generators are available on-site as an alternative source of power. Fuel is stored in the fuel tanks located on-site.

## 5.8 Emergency Supplies and Information

Planning and organizational measures that can help the owner and emergency management authorities manage an emergency situation more safely and effectively include stockpiling materials and equipment for emergency use and coordinating information between organizations.

## 5.8.1 Materials and Equipment

In the event of a high water excursion event, on-site stop logs will be installed or sluice gates will be closed to limit flow of water entering the impoundment by LRS operators. On-site pumps permanently installed in the pump house will be utilized by LRS operators to lower the water level to ponds having greater remaining freeboard.

In the event of embankment deficiencies, water within the impoundments should be lowered by LRS operators below the observed deficiency using the systems currently in place (stop logs, sluice, gates, and/or pumps) unless those systems are not able to address the issue efficiently. Temporary controls such as sand or rip rap may be installed by LRS staff to control the deficiency, and earth moving equipment may be necessary for address corrective measures following a field investigation. LRS has a backhoe excavator stored on-site which can be operated by LRS staff.

Material inventory for temporary control measures is stored near the warehouse but often varies in quantity. However, sand and rip rap material is generally readily available from outside resources.

#### 5.8.2 Available Resources

During an emergency, the owners/operators may need to bring in outside resources such as heavy equipment, sandbags, pumps, siphons or divers. A listing of the resources including provider names, addresses and telephone numbers available to the owner/operator of the LRS Impoundments can be found in **Appendix B** and was last updated on the date shown on the bottom of the page.

## 5.9 Coordination of Information

Refer to the Notification Flowchart in Appendix B when informing responsible parties of an emergency.

Information on weather should be obtained from the National Weather Service (NWS) at <a href="http://www.weather.gov/">http://www.weather.gov/</a> or by phone at 317-856-0367. Co-ordination with the NWS is recommended to monitor storms, river stages, and flood waves resulting from a failure. The NWS may also be able to supplement warnings being issued using their own communication system.

## 5.10 Annual Review, Training, and Testing

The EAP should be reviewed on an annual basis to ensure that all contact information listed is accurate and that personnel are familiar with the EAP and understand their role in responding to an emergency. Training and exercise plans should be designed and developed by those entities with responsibilities identified in the EAP. EAP action items and procedures should be exercised periodically for all individuals involved in its implementation so that individuals are familiar with their roles and responsibilities. The annual review of and training for the LRS Impoundment's EAP will occur in winter concurrent with the Grayrocks Reservoir annual training. Based on changes identified in the annual review, copies of updated pages will be provided to all holders of the EAP. A copy of the most current EAP will be kept in the shift supervisor's office and weekend duty superintendent's office. At least every five (5) years, the owner/operator of the LRS Impoundments will meet with the Platte County Emergency Management to discuss what changes have been made to the Platte County All Hazards Emergency Response/ Operations Plan and to determine what opportunities exist for exercises. Also, the owner/operator of the LRS Impoundments will review the dam failure (hydraulic shadow) map to identify any significant land use changes in the hazard area.

The owner/operator should work with local emergency management to determine what opportunities exist to conduct or participate in impoundment related EAP exercises.

## 5.11 Alternative Systems of Communication

The list below provides information on the forms of communication that are available at the LRS Impoundments and operating procedures during an emergency event:

- Phones: primary source of communication during an emergency event.
- Email: used for follow up communication.
- Plant-wide Emergency Response system: to be used when evacuation of employees is required.

## 5.12 Public Awareness and Communication

Residential areas are located downstream of the LRS Impoundments. Public awareness measures should be provided to explain the proximity of the impoundment to residences, how people will be informed of an emergency situation, and the actions people should take during an emergency. Basin Electric Headquarters is currently responsible for providing informational updates on LRS. LRS staff contact downstream residences on an annual basis to confirm contact information is current with information provided in the EAP. In the event of an emergency, the Platte County Emergency Management will contact downstream residences and then contact LRS to inform LRS of which property owners were notified and which property owners did not respond.

# **Appendix A – Figures**

- A.1 Site Location Map
- A.2 Site Vicinity Map
- A.3 Facility Layout Diagram
- A.4 Adjacent Property Owners Map
- A.5 Hydraulic Shadow Map
- A.6 Impoundment Plan and Sections
- A.7 Dam Failure Analysis Summary Tables





IN	
•^•	
4 1	
XX	
$\sim$	
m	

5,000 2,500 Feet Data Source: Google Earth Date: September 2022





Townships

Counties



Railroads



NOTES: BASE MAP SOURCE: GOOGLE EARTH DATE: SEPTEMBER 2022

BASE MAP NOT TO SCALE

AECOM

1350 Deming Way, Suite 100 Middleton, WI 53562 T 608.836.9800 www.aecom.com





FIGURE



\/172.25.156.10\/12Projects\Projects\60429243\_Basin Electric Ash Pond Study\900-WORKING DOCS-CAD\902-SHEETS\EAP Figures\Adjacent Property Owners Map.mxd

The second second					
	2.2 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF E TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) TIME TO PEAK (HOURS) MAX ELEVATION (FEET) INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) PEAK FLOW (CFS)	1.0 1.8 4,443.5 5.0			8.0 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACHME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)2.2ME TO PEAK (HOURS)4.5IAX ELEVATION (FEET)4,406.3ICREMENTAL RISE (FEET)1.9EAK FLOW (CFS)12,603
	The Rhap	22,737 33,883.02 39,591.36 36	Contraction of the second seco	<b>7.0 MILES DOWNSTREAM</b> TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)	1 OF BREACH 2.0 3.3
TIME OF ARRIVAL TIME TO PEAK (H MAX ELEVATION INCREMENTAL RI	OURS) 0.8 (FEET) 4,531.6			MAX ELEVATION (FEET) INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) PEAK FLOW (CFS)	4,415.2 2.7 13,618
46,808.13 47,116.30	rgency and brid 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3 1-3	40,954,43 <sup>2</sup> 28,57 GEVFOCTS ROad 41,3954,43 <sup>2</sup> 28,57 41,395,47 56 <u>1.3 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH</u>		N 18.300.90	
47,498.67 47,904.96 48,278.96 49,596.52	43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115 43,115	TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)0.8TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)0.9MAX ELEVATION (FEET)4,503.5INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)25.4PEAK FLOW (CFS)24,057	4.8 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACHTIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)1.5TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)2.6MAX ELEVATION (FEET)4,428.6INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)3.3PEAK FLOW (CFS)14,814		Grantia Birtel reyrocks Road
1 & 2 49,335: Pond 3 49,617,58 Greyrocks Roac TIME TO TIME TO	S1   TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     MAX ELEVATION (FEET)   INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)     PEAK FLOW (CFS)   PEAK FLOW (CFS)     ILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH   0.0     PARIVAL (HOURS)   0.0     0 PEAK (HOURS)   0.0	0.3 0.9 4,533.7 7.2 17,643	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		
INCREM	EVATION (FEET)     4,563.0       ENTAL RISE (FEET)     0.0       OW (CFS)     17,751				



# LRS SITE BOTTOM ASH POND 3 NORTH **Base Flow Extent** Limit of Study - Cross Section Limit of Breach Event Storage Area Breach Inundation Area

#### NOTES:

1. DUE TO THE METHOD, PROCEDURES, AND ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DEVELOP THE FLOODED AREAS, THE LIMITS OF FLOODING SHOWN AND FLOOD WAVE TRAVEL TIMES ARE APPROXIMATE AND SHOULD BE

USED ONLY AS A GUIDELINE FOR ESTABLISHING EVACUATION ZONES. ACTUAL AREAS INUNDATED WILL DEPEND ON ACTUAL FAILURE CONDITIONS AND MAY DIFFER FROM AREAS SHOWN ON THE MAP (S). 2. FLOOD MAP DATA ON THIS DRAWING REPRESENT OUTPUT

DATA FROM A HECRAS MODEL STUDY AND REPORT ENTITLED DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS – BASIN ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE" COMPLETED BY AECOM DATED MARCH 2017. 3. BEGINNING AND PEAK FLOOD ARRIVAL TIMES ESTIMATED

FROM TIME OF DAM FAILURE.

4. TIME TO PEAK IS DEFINED AS THE TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH TO THE TIME OF PEAK WSEL. 5. BASE MAP COUNTOUR DATA FROM THE NATIONAL

ELEVATION DATASET.

5. ALL ELEVATION ARE REFERENCED TO NVGD29 AND SHOWN AS FEET ABOVE MSL.

7. ROAD NAME LABELS OBTAINED FROM GIS. 8. INCREASE IN WSEL IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MAXIMUM WSEL UNDER DAM FAILURE AND MAXIMUM WSEL UNDER NO FAILURE SCENARIO.

9. ABBREVIATION USED: W.S. OR WSEL = WATER SURFACE ELEVATION





		Contraction of the second seco	
	TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)       MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,44	1.4       2.3       5.0       6.7 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH       1.6       TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)       2.0	
3.0 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH     TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)     0.7     TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     1.5     MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,453.5     INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)     19     PEAK FLOW (CFS)     14,462	68.52.624 01.21.634 02.1997.29 04.0027.40 04.020.05	TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     3.9       MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,437.2       INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)     1.7       PEAK FLOW (CFS)     9,406	
Indeckemental Nise (PEET)     1.9     19       PEAK FLOW (CFS)     14,462     6     6       57,745.15     57,745.15     6     6	The River	the second secon	10.7 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH       TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)     3.1       TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     5.4       MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,414.0       INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)     1.3       PEAK FLOW (CFS)     9,004
81480 B. 143 48 348 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 4	the second secon	Greyrocks fload	12,646.14 N <sup>31</sup> 18,260.89
243839 B	Emergency Pond	GENTOLISA	
GA,018.49MAX ELEVATION (FEET)4,492.3INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)4.8PEAK FLOW (CFS)9,740	O.O MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH       TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)     0.0       TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     0.0       MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,588.5	8.5 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACHTIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)2.6TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)4.6MAX ELEVATION (FEET)4,427.0INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)1.6	Larante Rules Greyrocks Road
66,718.68 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13 67,505.13	INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 0.0 PEAK FLOW (CFS) 15,569	PEAK FLOW (CFS) 9,167	AN A
67,505.13 67,591.88 Careyrocks Road Careyrocks Careyrocks Road Careyrocks Careyrocks Road Careyrocks Careyrocks Careyrocks Careyrocks Careyrocks Careyrocks Careyrocks Careyrocks Care	Greyrocks Road		
TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)     0.1       TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     1.0       MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,571.3       INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)     10.6       PEAK FLOW (CFS)     15,479			



# LRS SITE BOTTOM ASH POND 3 WEST **Base Flow Extent** Limit of Study Cross Section Limit of Breach Event Storage Area Breach Inundation Area

1. DUE TO THE METHOD, PROCEDURES, AND ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DEVELOP THE FLOODED AREAS, THE LIMITS OF FLOODING SHOWN AND FLOOD WAVE TRAVEL TIMES ARE APPROXIMATE AND SHOULD BE

USED ONLY AS A GUIDELINE FOR ESTABLISHING EVACUATION ZONES. ACTUAL AREAS INUNDATED WILL DEPEND ON ACTUAL FAILURE CONDITIONS AND MAY DIFFER FROM AREAS SHOWN

2. FLOOD MAP DATA ON THIS DRAWING REPRESENT OUTPUT DATA FROM A HECRAS MODEL STUDY AND REPORT ENTITLED DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS – BASIN ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE" COMPLETED BY AECOM DATED MARCH 2017. 3. BEGINNING AND PEAK FLOOD ARRIVAL TIMES ESTIMATED

FROM TIME OF DAM FAILURE.

4. TIME TO PEAK IS DEFINED AS THE TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH TO THE TIME OF PEAK WSEL. 5. BASE MAP COUNTOUR DATA FROM THE NATIONAL

5. ALL ELEVATION ARE REFERENCED TO NVGD29 AND SHOWN

WSEL UNDER DAM FAILURE AND MAXIMUM WSEL UNDER NO



3/30/2017

60429243



Feet

O.8 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) 0.4		
TIME TO PEAK (HOURS) 1.0   MAX ELEVATION (FEET) 4,444.5   INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 1.3   PEAK FLOW (CFS) 10,525	2.8 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH     TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)   0.9     TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)   2.4     MAX ELEVATION (FEET)   4,436.5     INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)   1.1     PEAK FLOW (CFS)   7,397	6.7 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) 2.0 TIME TO PEAK (HOURS) 4.2 MAX ELEVATION (EFET) 4.413.4
Bazasan Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Bazas Ba	Creyrocks Road	MAX ELEVATION (FEET) 4,413.4 INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 0.8 PEAK FLOW (CFS) 7,129 12,64,6,24 14,413.4 INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 0.8 PEAK FLOW (CFS) 7,129
O.0 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH     TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)   0.0     TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)   0.0     MAX ELEVATION (FEET)   4,537.7     INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)   0.0     PEAK FLOW (CFS)   6,463	4.5 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH     TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)     1.5     TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)     3.3     MAX ELEVATION (FEET)     4,426.3     INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)     1.0     PEAK FLOW (CFS)     7,246	CremeRiter Greyrocks Road
Pond 3 Greyrocks Roae Ringneck Road Greyrocks Road	Calendaria C	TIME OF ARRIVAL (H TIME TO PEAK (HOU MAX ELEVATION (FE INCREMENTAL RISE ( PEAK FLOW (CFS)



EMERGENCY NORTH Base Flow Extent Limit of Study - Cross Section Limit of Breach Event Storage Area Breach Inundation Area

1. DUE TO THE METHOD, PROCEDURES, AND ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DEVELOP THE FLOODED AREAS, THE LIMITS OF FLOODING SHOWN AND FLOOD WAVE TRAVEL TIMES ARE APPROXIMATE AND SHOULD BE

USED ONLY AS A GUIDELINE FOR ESTABLISHING EVACUATION ZONES. ACTUAL AREAS INUNDATED WILL DEPEND ON ACTUAL FAILURE CONDITIONS AND MAY DIFFER FROM AREAS SHOWN

2. FLOOD MAP DATA ON THIS DRAWING REPRESENT OUTPUT DATA FROM A HECRAS MODEL STUDY AND REPORT ENTITLED MAM FAILURE ANALYSIS – BASIN ELECTRIC POWER
COOPERATIVE" COMPLETED BY AECOM DATED MARCH 2017.
BEGINNING AND PEAK FLOOD ARRIVAL TIMES ESTIMATED

. TIME TO PEAK IS DEFINED AS THE TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH TO THE TIME OF PEAK WSEL. 5. BASE MAP COUNTOUR DATA FROM THE NATIONAL

ALL ELEVATION ARE REFERENCED TO NVGD29 AND SHOWN

7. ROAD NAME LABELS OBTAINED FROM GIS. 8. INCREASE IN WSEL IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN MAXIMUM WSEL UNDER DAM FAILURE AND MAXIMUM WSEL UNDER NO



60429243



1.4 MILES DOW	NSTREAM OF BREACH
TIME OF ARRIVAL	(HOURS) 0.7
TIME TO PEAK (HO	
MAX ELEVATION (F	, ,,
INCREMENTAL RIS	E (FEET) 2.3
PEAK FLOW (CFS)	14,995

40,328.51

2.2 MILES DOWNSTREAM O	F BREACH
TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)	0.9
TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)	1.5
MAX ELEVATION (FEET)	4,437.0
INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)	1.6
PEAK FLOW (CFS)	9,200

	a contraction of the second
F BREACH	6.1 MILES DOWNSTREAM O
1.9	TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS)
3.2	TIME TO PEAK (HOURS)
4,413.6	MAX ELEVATION (FEET)
1.1	INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET)

12,646,10

PEAK FLOW (CFS

18,260,80

7,932

0.0 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) 0.0 0.0 TIME TO PEAK (HOURS) 0.0 MAX ELEVATION (FEET) 4,537.7 INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 0.0 PEAK FLOW (CFS 6,396

Greyrocks Road

gneck Road

e: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Ge

0.4 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) 0.5 TIME TO PEAK (HOURS) 0.7 MAX ELEVATION (FEET) 4,501.3 INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 23.3 PEAK FLOW (CFS) 14,980

10,954.43

1396.37

3.9 MILES DOWNSTREAM OF BREACH TIME OF ARRIVAL (HOURS) 1.4 TIME TO PEAK (HOURS) 2.4 MAX ELEVATION (FEET) 4,426.7 INCREMENTAL RISE (FEET) 1.4 8,306 PEAK FLOW (CFS)

**Greyrocks** Road

\*R90.35

10,593.46



# Limit of Study Limit of Breach Event Breach Inundation Area

. DUE TO THE METHOD, PROCEDURES, AND ASSUMPTIONS USED TO DEVELOP THE FLOODED AREAS, THE LIMITS OF FLOODING SHOWN AND FLOOD WAVE TRAVEL TIMES ARE APPROXIMATE AND SHOULD BE

USED ONLY AS A GUIDELINE FOR ESTABLISHING EVACUATION ZONES. ACTUAL AREAS INUNDATED WILL DEPEND ON ACTUAL FAILURE CONDITIONS AND MAY DIFFER FROM AREAS SHOWN

DATA FROM A HECRAS MODEL STUDY AND REPORT ENTITLED "DAM FAILURE ANALYSIS – BASIN ELECTRIC POWER COOPERATIVE" COMPLETED BY AECOM DATED MARCH 2017. 3. BEGINNING AND PEAK FLOOD ARRIVAL TIMES ESTIMATED

. TIME TO PEAK IS DEFINED AS THE TIME FROM BEGINNING OF BREACH TO THE TIME OF PEAK WSEL. 5. BASE MAP COUNTOUR DATA FROM THE NATIONAL

ALL ELEVATION ARE REFERENCED TO NVGD29 AND SHOWN

WSEL UNDER DAM FAILURE AND MAXIMUM WSEL UNDER NO



**APPENDIX A.5** 

3,000











2	AS BUILT	MNA	SRA	JMT	12/16/22
<u> </u>			0107	01011	12/10/22
1	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	SGC	SRA	JMT	01/28/22
0	ISSUED FOR BID	ARB	SRA	JMT	11/17/21
REV.	DESCRIPTION	DRWN	DSGN	APPD	DATE

FACILITY:	DESIGN BY SRA	12/16/22
FACILITY UNIT/COMPLEX/SITE NUMBER:	DRAWN BY MNA	<u> </u>
CONTRACT NUMBER: 789083	DRAFT CHK. SRA	12/16/22
RETROFIT OF CCR HOLDNG POND	APPROVED JMT	12/16/22
POND 3 FINAL GRADING PLAN	VENDOR NAME:	
(TOP OF TOPSOIL)	AECOM	
BASIN ELECTRIC		original rev 0
	ENG DRAWING NUMBER	REV. NO.
A Touchstone Energy Cooperative	C-2.06	2

- Fr	
$\sum$	
( Santa	ELEC
كهنج	
_	$\odot$
en an	
کن وی چې د وی چې د وه دوم	

# UTILITY POLE

CCR RULE COMPLIANT WELL PIPE SUPPORTS TOP OF SURFACE MAJOR CONTOUR (10' INTERVAL) TOP OF SURFACE MINOR CONTOUR (2' INTERVAL)

ELECTRICAL LINES SHALLOW OBSERVATION WELL

PIPING

LINER LIMITS

EXISTING LEGEND FENCE LINE

5. AS-BUILT SURVEY WAS PROVIDED BY INTERSTATE ENGINEERING. WEST HILL SURVEY DATED 08/31/2022 AND EAST POND SURVEY DATED 03/14/2022.

2. SITE TOPOGRAPHY WAS PROVIDED TO AECOM BY BASIN ELECTRIC

AND IS A COMBINATION OF RECORD SURVEYS PERFORMED IN

WATER IN THOSE PONDS. THE GRADES SHOWN IN BOTTOM ASH

EXISTING UTILITIES SHOWN ARE INDICATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH AVAILABLE RECORDS. OTHER UTILITIES MAY BE PRESENT. THE

CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING EXACT LOCATIONS

AND ELEVATIONS OF ALL UTILITIES. ALL UTILITY OWNERS SHALL BE

SEDIMENT GRADE LINES, PROPOSED TOP OF LINER SURFACE IN

NOTIFIED 2 FULL BUSINESS DAYS IN ADVANCE OF GROUND

GRADES SHOWN ON THIS SHEET DEPICT EXISTING TOP OF

THE EAST POND, AND TOP OF TOPSOIL IN THE WEST HILL.

POND 3 ARE FROM FILES DATED 07/09/2021 AND ARE A

COMBINATION OF PREVIOUS BATHYMETRIC DATA AND

TOPOGRAPHICAL DATA.

DISTURBANCE.

BOTTOM ASH POND 1 (DATED 04/06/2021) AND BOTTOM ASH POND 2 (DATED 06/08/2021) FOLLOWING RETROFIT AND PRIOR TO REFILL OF

NOTES: 1. DRAWING IS IN THE PLANT GRID COORDINATE SYSTEM

· 0 8 8 0°

- Pri-

1 (Go

far and

Enonorman .

28, 6



								REFERENCE DRAWI	
G	5	ISSUED FOR RECORD (EHP-E DRAWINGS)	SRA	SRA	JMT	06/25/21		-	
OW THE	4	EHP-E BERM REVISION	SRA	SRA	JMT	05/26/20			
S ARE DATA TOR AND ER. WAS GE FROM MAY ON AND VORK.	3	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	GRE	SRA	JMT	03/18/20			
	2	ADDENDUM 2	MDN	SRA	JMT	01/31/20			
	1	ADDENDUM 1	MDN	SRA	JMT	01/24/20			
	0	ISSUED FOR BID	MDN	SRA	JMT	12/06/19			
	REV.	DESCRIPTION	DRWN	DSGN	APPD	DATE			

					— — — P — — — P E W C P — — T	VELL PO CR RULE ROPOSE OP OF G	MITS RAILROAD ND OR MH MONITORIN E COMPLIANT WELL E <u>D LEGEND</u> GRADE MAJOR CONTOL GRADE MINOR CONTOL	IR (5' INTERVAL)
								REFERENCE DRAW
;	5	ISSUED FOR RECORD (EHP-E DRAWINGS)	SRA	SRA	JMT	06/25/21	BASIN DWG NO.	
W THE	4	EHP-E BERM REVISION	SRA	SRA	JMT	05/26/20	LRS-CY-002-009	EAST AND WEST EME VOLUME SURVEY
							1	
	Table B-1: Dam Break Summary Pond 3 North Breach							
--------------------------------	--	----------------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------		
Cross Section River Station	Miles Downstream	Time of Arrival (hours)	Time to Peak (hours)	Max Elevation (ft)	Incremental Rise (ft)	Peak Flow (cfs)		
Pond 3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4588.5	0.0	15,101		
49596.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4567.0	4.0	17,751		
		RS 49	9540.62 Ponds :	1&2				
49436.12	0.0	0.2	0.8	4547.4	4.1	17,751		
49335.81	0.0	0.2	0.8	4544.6	1.6	17,748		
48754.19	0.1	0.3	0.8	4537.7	4.0	17,716		
48278.96	0.2	0.3	0.9	4533.7	7.2	17,643		
			Road <sup>1</sup>					
47904.96	0.3	0.3	0.9	4532.1	10.3	17,637		
47675.51	0.4	0.3	0.9	4531.8	13.1	17,703		
	•		Road <sup>1</sup>					
47498.67	0.4	0.4	0.9	4531.8	15.1	17,820		
47116.30	0.5	0.4	0.8	4531.6	19.0	18,229		
46808.13	0.5	0.5	0.8	4531.6	19.1	18,956		
		RS 46598	3.1 Railroad Em	bankment		,		
46418.09	0.6	0.5	1.0	4518.7	9.8	18,956		
46002.56	0.7	0.5	1.0	4514.5	11.0	18,922		
45536.53	0.8	0.5	1.0	4508.7	12.3	18,903		
44953.50	0.9	0.5	1.0	4504.4	12.5	19,028		
44552.26	0.9	0.5	1.0	4503.7	14.4	19,539		
44218.40	1.0	0.6	1.0	4503.6	18.4	20,212		
43927.92	1.0	0.6	0.9	4503.6	22.1	20,212		
43728.71	1.1	0.6	0.9	4503.5	22.1	20,797		
43542.35	1.1	0.6	0.9	4503.6	24.0	21,140		
43359.86	1.1	0.6	0.9	4503.6	25.5	21,508		
43115.56	1.2	0.7	0.9	4503.5	25.5	22,924		
42886.86	1.3	0.8	0.9	4503.5	25.4	24,057		
42520.45	4.2	1	8 Service Road I		12.0	24.057		
42520.15	1.3	0.8	1.1	4478.0	12.6	24,057		
41396.41	1.5	0.9	1.1	4467.5	11.5	23,750		
40954.43	1.6	0.9	1.1	4462.4	10.2	23,652		
40328.51	1.7	0.9	1.1	4451.5	4.1	23,547		
		1	nie River Conflu					
39107.7	2.0	1.0	1.7	4444.1	2.5	28,244		
37887.02	2.2	1.0	1.8	4443.5	5.0	22,737		
34740.96	2.8	1.1	1.8	4441.6	4.6	16,867		
33591.36	3.0	1.1	1.9	4439.0	3.6	16,791		
31566.23	3.4	1.1	2.3	4435.9	2.8	15,938		
29108.38	3.9	1.3	2.3	4434.5	2.9	15,260		
24257.5	4.8	1.5	2.6	4428.6	3.3	14,814		
22531.78	5.1	1.6	2.8	4424.5	3.3	14,728		
18260.89	5.9	1.7	3.2	4418.6	3.3	14,076		
12646.14	7.0	2.0	3.3	4415.2	2.7	13,618		
		Mouth	of Greyrocks Re	eservoir				
10593.46	7.4	2.2	3.4	4411.1	1.9	13,594		
7490.352	8.0	2.2	4.5	4406.3	1.9	12,603		
6107.239	8.2	2.3	4.6	4406.1	2.1	11,732		
4068.01	8.6	2.4	4.6	4405.9	2.1	11,130		
1830.536	9.0	2.6	4.7	4405.7	2.1	10,976		

Notes:

1. The hydraulic effects of small service roads, bridges, and railroad crossings are ignored in this analysis.

2. Beginning and peak flood arrival times estimated from time of dam failure.

3. Time to peak is defined as the time from beginning of breach to the time of peak water surface elevation.

elevation under no failure scenario.

Table D-1: Dam Break Summary Pond 3 West Breach						
Cross Section River Station	Miles Downstream	Time of Arrival <sup>2</sup> (hours)	Time to Peak <sup>2,3</sup> (hours)	Max Elevation (ft)	Incremental Rise <sup>4</sup> (ft)	Peak Flow (cfs)
69281.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4588.5	0.0	14,879
		RS 69	156.31 Pond 3 B	reach		
68602.15	0.1	0.1	0.7	4573.5	11.3	15,569
67983.43	0.2	0.1	1.0	4571.3	10.6	15,479
67775.61	0.3	0.1	1.0	4571.2	15.6	14,429
67591.88	0.3	0.1	1.0	4571.2	13.9	14,429
67505.13	0.3	0.1	1.0	4571.1	15.6	10,921
		Rai	lroad Embankme			
66778.68	0.5	0.2	1.0	4570.2	16.9	10,062
65614.10	0.7	0.2	1.0	4532.4	7.0	9,936
64078.49	1.0	0.2	1.1	4500.0	7.2	9,811
63138.39	1.1	0.3	1.1	4492.3	4.8	9,740
			lroad Embankme			
62527.32	1.3	0.3	1.1	4488.8	4.7	9,730
61889.29	1.4	0.4	1.1	4486.3	5.2	9,710
60729.52	1.6	0.4	1.2	4480.7	5.1	9,691
			Iroad Embankme			
59360.26	1.9	0.5	1.2	4474.7	5.5	9,673
57745.15	2.2	0.5	1.2	4466.5	4.6	9,662
			Railroad Bridge <sup>1</sup>			
52200 64	2.0		ence with Laram		1.0	44.462
53388.61	3.0	0.7	1.5	4453.5	1.9	14,462
52619.57	3.1 3.3	0.8	1.5 1.6	4451.8	1.7	14,323
51481.25 48932.85	3.3	0.8	1.8	4450.6 4448.6	1.5 1.4	14,129 13,522
46915.1	4.2	1.0	1.8	4448.6	1.4	13,297
43764.56	4.2	1.1	2.3	4447.5	1.2	12,799
40027.46	5.5	1.4	3.4	4441.4	2.2	12,733
37887.02	5.9	1.7	3.7	4440.9	2.6	10,266
34740.96	6.5	1.9	3.7	4439.6	2.4	9,413
33591.36	6.7	2.0	3.9	4437.2	1.7	9,406
31566.23	7.1	2.0	4.2	4434.5	1.3	9,301
29108.38	7.6	2.2	4.4	4433.2	1.4	9,209
24257.5	8.5	2.6	4.6	4427.0	1.6	9,167
22531.78	8.8	2.6	4.8	4422.9	1.5	9,160
18260.89	9.6	2.8	5.3	4417.0	1.6	9,062
12646.14	10.7	3.1	5.4	4414.0	1.3	9,004
10593.46	11.1	3.2	5.5	4410.2	0.9	8,999
			of Greyrocks Re			
7490.352	11.7	3.4	6.7	4405.4	1.0	8,719
6107.239	11.9	3.5	6.7	4405.3	1.1	8,475
4068.01	12.3	3.6	6.7	4405.0	1.1	8,296
1830.536	12.8	3.9	6.9	4404.8	1.1	8,247

Notes:

1. The hydraulic effects of small service roads, bridges, and railroad embankments are ignored in this analysis.

2. Beginning and peak flood arrival times estimated from time of dam failure.

3. Time to peak is defined as the time from beginning of breach to the time of peak water surface elevation.

4. Increase in water surface elevation is the difference between maximum water surface elevation under dam failure and maximum water surface elevation under no failure scenario.



Prepared By: S. Pasquesi Date: 03/16/2017 Checked By: P. Drew Date: 03/17/2017

Table E-1: Dam Break Summary Emergency North Breach						
		Time of				
<b>Cross Section</b>	Miles	Arrival <sup>2</sup>	Time to	<b>Max Elevation</b>	Incremental	Peak Flow
<b>River Station</b>	Downstream	(hours)	Peak <sup>2,3</sup> (hours)	(ft)	Rise <sup>4</sup> (ft)	(cfs)
48283.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4537.7	0.0	6,463
		RS 48	3190.46 North B	reach		
46888.09	0.2	0.1	0.8	4447.9	2.4	6,463
46441.8	0.3	0.1	0.8	4447.5	2.0	6,403
		Confluen	ce with the Lara	mie River		
43764.56	0.8	0.4	1.0	4444.5	1.3	10,525
40027.46	1.5	0.6	1.8	4440.6	1.5	9,616
37887.02	2.0	0.3	2.3	4439.8	1.7	8,254
34740.96	2.5	0.9	2.4	4438.6	1.6	7,404
33591.36	2.8	0.9	2.4	4436.5	1.1	7,397
31566.23	3.1	1.0	2.8	4433.9	0.8	7,328
29108.38	3.6	1.2	3.1	4432.5	0.9	7,270
24257.5	4.5	1.5	3.3	4426.3	1.0	7,246
22531.78	4.9	1.6	3.4	4422.2	0.9	7,241
18260.89	5.7	1.7	3.8	4416.3	1.0	7,178
12646.14	6.7	2.0	4.2	4413.4	0.8	7,129
10593.46	7.1	2.1	4.2	4409.8	0.5	7,125
		Mouth o	f the Greyrocks	Reservoir		
7490.352	7.7	2.3	5.5	4404.9	0.6	6,968
6107.239	8.0	2.4	5.8	4404.7	0.7	6,826
4068.01	8.4	2.6	6.0	4404.5	0.7	6,727
1830.536	8.8	2.9	5.7	4404.2	0.6	6,700

Notes:

1. The hydraulic effects of small service roads, bridges, and railroad crossings are ignored in this analysis.

2. Beginning and peak flood arrival times estimated from time of dam failure.

3. Time to peak is defined as the time from beginning of breach to the time of peak water surface elevation.

4. Increase in water surface elevation is the difference between maximum water surface elevation under dam failure and maximum water surface elevation under no failure scenario.

Prepared By: S. Pasquesi Date: 03/16/2017 Checked By: P. Drew Date: 03/17/2017

	Table F-1: Dam Break Summary Emergency South Breach						
		Time of	-				
<b>Cross Section</b>	Miles	Arrival <sup>2</sup>	Time to	<b>Max Elevation</b>	Incremental	Peak Flow	
<b>River Station</b>	Downstream	(hours)	Peak <sup>2,3</sup> (hours)	(ft)	Rise <sup>4</sup> (ft)	(cfs)	
45091.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4537.7	0.0	6,396	
		RS 45026.8	30 Emergency Sc	outh Breach			
44757.75	0.1	0.1	0.7	4501.7	8.4	6,396	
44552.26	0.1	0.1	0.7	4501.5	12.0	7,274	
44218.40	0.2	0.1	0.7	4501.4	16.2	8,352	
43927.92	0.2	0.1	0.7	4501.4	19.9	9,429	
43728.71	0.2	0.1	0.7	4501.4	21.9	10,146	
43542.35	0.3	0.1	0.7	4501.4	23.4	10,929	
43359.86	0.3	0.2	0.7	4501.4	23.3	11,962	
43115.56	0.4	0.2	0.7	4501.3	23.3	13,382	
42886.86	0.4	0.5	0.7	4501.3	23.3	14,980	
		RS 42735.48	8 Service Road E	mbankment			
42520.15	0.5	0.5	0.9	4475.5	10.2	14,980	
41396.41	0.7	0.6	0.9	4464.7	8.7	14,754	
40954.43	0.8	0.6	0.9	4459.5	7.3	14,669	
			Road <sup>1</sup>				
40328.51	0.9	0.7	0.9	4450.1	3.8	14,550	
		Conflue	ence with Laram	ie River			
37887.02	1.4	0.7	1.3	4440.8	2.3	14,995	
34740.96	1.9	0.9	1.4	4439.1	2.2	9,242	
33591.36	2.2	0.9	1.5	4437.0	1.6	9,200	
31566.23	2.5	1.0	1.9	4434.3	1.1	8,779	
29108.38	3.0	1.1	2.2	4432.9	1.2	8,454	
24257.5	3.9	1.4	2.4	4426.7	1.4	8,306	
22531.78	4.3	1.4	2.5	4422.6	1.3	8,293	
18260.89	5.1	1.6	3.1	4416.6	1.3	8,094	
12646.14	6.1	1.9	3.2	4413.6	1.1	7,932	
10593.46	6.5	2.0	3.2	4410.0	0.7	7,921	
		Mouth	of Greyrocks Re	servoir			
7490.352	7.1	2.1	4.4	4405.1	0.7	7,631	
6107.239	7.4	2.2	4.5	4404.8	0.8	7,343	
4068.01	7.8	2.4	4.6	4404.6	0.8	7,134	
1830.536	8.2	2.7	4.7	4404.4	0.8	7,073	

#### Notes:

1. The hydraulic effects of small service roads, bridges, and railroad crossings are ignored in this analysis.

2. Beginning and peak flood arrival times estimated from time of dam failure.

3. Time to peak is defined as the time from beginning of breach to the time of peak water surface elevation.

4. Increase in water surface elevation is the difference between maximum water surface elevation under dam failure and maximum water surface elevation under no failure scenario.

# **Appendix B – Charts and Tables**

- B.1 Summary of EAP Responsibilities
- B.2 Summary of Owner Responsibilities
- B.3 Guidance for Determining the Emergency Level
- B.4 Level of Emergency Determination Chart
- **B.5** Notification Flowcharts
- B.6 Available Resources Chart

# Summary of EAP Responsibilities

Entity	Re	sponsibilities
Owner/Operator	1.	Verify and assess emergency conditions
	2.	Notify other participating emergency management agencies
	3.	Take corrective action at facility
	4.	Declare termination of emergency at facility
	5.	Update EAP on at least an annual basis
	6.	Respond to emergencies at the facility
	7.	Receive condition status reports from the operator
Town of Wheatland Police, Fire,	1.	Receive condition status reports from owner
and Rescue	2.	Notify Public within Wheatland limits
	3.	Conduct evacuation from inundation areas within town limits, if required
	4.	Render assistance to Platte County, as necessary
	5.	Render assistance to owner, as necessary
Platte County Police, Fire and	1.	Receive condition status reports from owner
Rescue, and Emergency	2.	Notify public within Platte County
Services	3.	Conduct evacuation from inundation areas in Platte County, if required

# Summary of Owner Responsibilities

Entity	Responsibilities
24/7 Operations Command Center	<ol> <li>Detect incident</li> <li>Determine emergency level</li> <li>Make calls on notification flow chart</li> <li>Coordinate with Operator and Engineering on gate operations and emergency procedures</li> <li>Coordinate with downstream area on operations</li> <li>Provide regular status reports to senior management</li> </ol>
On-site Operator	<ol> <li>Detect/confirm incident</li> <li>Determine emergency level</li> <li>Make calls on Notification Flowchart</li> <li>Coordinate with Command Center and Engineering on emergency procedures</li> <li>Implement emergency procedures</li> <li>Provide regular status reports to senior management</li> </ol>
Engineering Manager	<ol> <li>Support onsite Operator and Operations Command Center on emergency level</li> <li>Make calls on notification flow chart</li> <li>Determine emergency operation and construction procedures</li> <li>Coordinate with Operator and Command Center emergency procedures</li> <li>Dispatch engineers and construction crews as necessary</li> <li>Dispatch engineer as technical liaison to County Emergency Operations Center</li> <li>Provide regular status reports to senior management</li> </ol>
Senior Management	<ol> <li>Make calls on Notification Flowchart</li> <li>Initiate periodic status report conference calls with site, command center, engineering, and public relations</li> <li>Provide regular status reports to County Emergency Operations Center</li> <li>Coordinate with upper management</li> <li>Coordinate with public relations staff at County and technical liaison at County Emergency Operations Center</li> </ol>
Public Relations	<ol> <li>Mobilize to County Offices</li> <li>Participate in periodic status report conference calls with site, command center, engineering, and management</li> <li>Provide input to staff on emergency communications</li> <li>Represent utility to media</li> </ol>

# Guidance for Determining the Emergency Level

Event	Situation	Emergency Level*
Embankment Overtopping	Reservoir level is 1.5 feet below the top of the impoundment	Potential Failure
	Water from the reservoir is flowing over the top of the impoundment	Imminent Failure
Seepage	New seepage areas in or near the impoundment	Non-failure
	New seepage areas with cloudy discharge or increasing flow rate	Potential Failure
Sinkholes	Observation of new sinkhole in reservoir area or on embankment	Potential Failure
	Rapidly enlarging sinkhole	Imminent Failure
Embankment / Structural	New cracks in the embankment/structural component greater than ¼-inch wide without seepage	Non-failure
Component Cracking	Cracks in the embankment/structural component with seepage	Potential Failure
Embankment	Visual movement/slippage of the embankment slope/structural component	Non-failure
/ Structural Component Movement	Sudden or rapidly proceeding slides of the embankment slopes/structural component	Imminent Failure
Security Threat	Verified bomb threat that, if carried out, could result in damage to the impoundment	Potential Failure
	Detonated bomb that has resulted in damage to the impoundment or appurtenances	Imminent Failure
Sabotage/	Unauthorized operation of the impoundment	Non-failure
Vandalism	Damage that could adversely impact the functioning of the impoundment or appurtenances	Non-failure
	Modification to the impoundment or appurtenances that could adversely impact the functioning of the impoundment	Potential Failure
	Damage to impoundment or appurtenances that has resulted in seepage flow	Potential Failure
	Damage to impoundment or appurtenances that has resulted in uncontrolled water release	Imminent Failure

#### Level of Emergency Determination Chart



Last Updated: September 2023



This contact information is not to be released to the public and is not to be used for any purpose other than an emergency.

#### Suggested EAP Coordinator Message – Imminent Failure

• This is <state name>. I am making this call in accordance with the Laramie River Station Emergency Action Plan (EAP).

• Problems have occurred at the Laramie River Station.

• The EAP has been activated, currently at the highest emergency level,

• Flooding along the Laramie River is possible.

• Immediately evacuate residences along the Laramie River.

• We will keep you apprised of the situation. The best telephone number to reach me during this event is <state phone number>.

> Suggested EAP Coordinator Message – Potential Failure • This is <state name>. I am making this call in accordance with the Laramie River Station Emergency Action Plan (EAP)

 Problems have occurred at the Laramie River Station. • The EAP has been activated, currently at the Potential

Failure Emergency level.

• Flooding along the Laramie River is possible.

• Prepare to evacuate residences along the Laramie River. • We will keep you apprised of the situation. The best telephone number to reach me during this event is <state phone number>.

> Platte County Memorial Hospital Primary Phone #: 307-322-3636

SS: :	Joe and Tammy Geringer 380 East Fairview Road 307-322-9322
ss: :	Nick Willhelm 314 North Wheatland Highway
ss: :	Bill Criss 827 Grayrocks Road 307-331-0017



#### Non-Failure and High Water Notification Flow Chart



This contact information is not to be released to the public and is not to be used for any purpose other than an emergency.

Last Updated: September 2023

#### **Available Resources**

Company Name	Address	Telephone #
Basin Electric – utilize ov	wned equipment stored on-	site.
Hillside Rental	1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WY 82201	307-322-5900
Alexander Construction Co Inc. (Owner: Lori Hale)	149 Y O Ranch Rd Wheatland, WY	307-322-2278
Croell Redi Mix	PO Box 787 Wheatland, WY	307-322-3591
Platte County Concrete & Stone (Owner: Rodger Hollingsworth)	245 South Wheatland Highway Wheatland, WY	307-322-3591
Croell Redi Mix	PO Box 787 Wheatland, WY	307-322-3591
Platte County Concrete & Stone (Owner: Rodger Hollingsworth)	245 South Wheatland Highway Wheatland, WY	307-322-3591
Hillside Rental	1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WY 82201	307-322-5900
Adrian Pump	192 W Frontage Rd Wheatland, WY	847-769-7705
Motion Industries, Inc.	5271 Hitt Boulevard Gillette, WY 82718	307-682-8821
Sulzer EMS	3382 Bird Drive Gillette, WY 82718	307-682-8733
Midco Diving & Marine Services, Inc.	Loveland, Colorado	970-532-2128
ASI Constructors Inc (ASI)	1850 E. Platteville Blvd. Pueblo West, CO 81007	719-647-2821
Grainger International (Casper Branch #109)	1110 Wilkins Circle Casper, WY 82601-1331	1-800-472- 4643
Grainger International (Fort Collins Branch #218)	4531 Innovation Dr. Fort Collins, CO 80525- 3406	1-800-472- 4643
Hillside Rental	1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WY 82201	307-322-5900
Sulzer EMS	3382 Bird Drive Gillette, WY 82718	307-682-8733
	Basin Electric – utilize ov         Hillside Rental         Alexander Construction         Co Inc. (Owner: Lori         Hale)         Croell Redi Mix         Platte County Concrete         & Stone (Owner:         Rodger Hollingsworth)         Croell Redi Mix         Platte County Concrete         & Stone (Owner:         Rodger Hollingsworth)         Croell Redi Mix         Platte County Concrete         & Stone (Owner:         Rodger Hollingsworth)         Hillside Rental         Adrian Pump         Motion Industries, Inc.         Sulzer EMS         Midco Diving & Marine         Services, Inc.         ASI Constructors Inc         (ASI)         Grainger International         (Casper Branch #109)         Grainger International         (Fort Collins Branch         #218)         Hillside Rental	Basin Electric – utilize owned equipment stored on-Hillside Rental1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WY 82201Alexander Construction Co Inc. (Owner: Lori Hale)149 Y O Ranch Rd Wheatland, WYCroell Redi MixPO Box 787 Wheatland, WYPlatte County Concrete & Stone (Owner: Rodger Hollingsworth)245 South Wheatland Highway Wheatland, WYCroell Redi MixPO Box 787 Wheatland, WYPlatte County Concrete & Stone (Owner: Rodger Hollingsworth)245 South Wheatland Highway Wheatland, WYPlatte County Concrete & Stone (Owner: Rodger Hollingsworth)245 South Wheatland Highway Wheatland, WYPlatte County Concrete & Stone (Owner: Rodger Hollingsworth)1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WYHillside Rental1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WY 82201Adrian Pump192 W Frontage Rd Wheatland, WYMotion Industries, Inc.5271 Hitt Boulevard Gillette, WY 82718Sulzer EMS3382 Bird Drive Gillette, WY 82718Midco Diving & Marine Services, Inc.Loveland, ColoradoASI Constructors Inc (ASI)1850 E. Platteville Blvd. Pueblo West, CO 81007Grainger International (Casper Branch #109)4531 Innovation Dr. Fort Collins, CO 80525- 3406Hillside Rental1851 Oak Street Wheatland, WY 82201

Last Updated: September 2023

# **Appendix C – Blank Forms and Log Sheets**

- C.1 Concurrence
- C.2 Communication Documentation Chart
- C.3 List of Holders, Receipt Confirmation, and Emergency Action Plan Updates
- C.4 Emergency Incident Log
- C.5 Emergency Termination Log

### Concurrence

By my signature, I acknowledge that I, or my representative, have reviewed this plan and concur with the tasks and responsibilities assigned herein for me and my organization.

Signature	Owner	Date
Printed Name and Title:		
Signature	Operator	Date
Printed Name and Title:		
Signature	Local Law Enforcement	Date
Printed Name and Title:		
Signature	Local Emergency Management	Date
Printed Name and Title:		
Signature	Fire Chief	Date
Printed Name and Title:		
Signature	Director of Public Works	Date
Printed Name and Title:		
	Printed Name and Title:         Signature         Printed Name and Title:	Printed Name and Title:

### **Communication Documentation Chart**

Date	Time		Person Contacted	Method of Contact	Reason for Contact
		AM			
		PM			
		AM PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM PM			
. <u> </u>		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			
		AM			
		PM			

Last Updated: September 2023

Rev #	Date	Sections Reviewed or Revisions Made	Revisions Made By
1	2/8/2018	Updated alternate contact (new plant manager)	Cliff Shierk (AECOM)
2	2/9/2018	Updated contact information for Bill Criss, adjacent property owner.	Kevin Solie (Basin Electric)
3	August 2023	Revised AECOM authors and reviewers, updated text based on current configuration of site resulting from retrofit and closure activities	N. Funseth and Jeremy Thomas (AECOM)
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			

# **Emergency Action Plan Updates**

# List of Holders, Receipt Confirmation, and Emergency Action Plan Updates

#	Name	Address	Telephone #	Date of Receipt
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				

# **Emergency Incident Log**

Name:			Job Title:					
Incident Start Date:			Incident Start Time:					
Incident Description:								
Initial Incident Level:								
Incident Detection:								
When did you detect or learn about the incident:								
How did you detect or learn about the incident:								
		Log All Notification	s and Activity in the Table Below	1				
Date	Time	Action/Incident Progressi	on	Action Taken By				

# **Emergency Termination Log**

Impoundment Name:	County:				
Impoundment Location:	Stream/River:				
Date/Time:					
Weather Conditions:					
General Description of Emergency Situation:					
Area of Impoundment Affected:					
Extent of Damage to Impoundment & Possible 0	Cause:				
Effect on Impoundment Operation:					
Initial Reservoir Elevation/Time:					
Maximum Reservoir Elevation/Time:					
Final Reservoir Elevation/Time:					
Description of Area Flooded Downstream / Damage/ Loss of Life:					
Justification for Termination of Dam Safety Emergency:					
Other Data and Comments:					

Report Prepared By: \_\_\_\_

(Printed Name and Signature)

(Date)

# Appendix D – Glossary

Breach: An opening through the embankment resulting in partial or total failure of the impoundment.

**Consequences**: Potential loss of life or property damage downstream of an impoundment caused by floodwaters released at the impoundment or by waters released by partial or complete failure of impoundment. This includes effects of landslides upstream of the impoundment on the property located around the reservoir.

**Emergency Action Plan (EAP)**: Formal document that identifies potential emergency conditions at an impoundment and specifies preplanned actions to be followed to minimize property damage and loss of life. The EAP describes actions the owner will take to moderate or alleviate a problem at the impoundment, as well as actions the owner, in coordination with emergency management authorities, will take to respond to incidents or emergencies related to the impoundment.

**EAP exercise**: Activity designed to promote prevention, preparedness, and response to incidents and emergencies, and may also be extended to include recovery operations. The exercise also demonstrates the EAP's effectiveness in an actual situation and demonstrates the readiness levels of key personnel. Periodic exercises result in an improved EAP because lessons learned are incorporated into the updated EAP document. Exercises consist of testing and performing the duties, tasks, or operations identified and defined within the EAP through a simulated event.

**Emergency**: Any incident, whether natural or manmade, that requires responsive action to protect life or property.

**Emergency alert system**: A federally established network of commercial radio stations that voluntarily provide official emergency instructions or directions to the public during an emergency.

**Emergency management authority**: State, local, Tribal, or Territorial agency responsible for emergency operations, planning, mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery for all hazards. Names of emergency management authorities vary (e.g., Division of Emergency Management, Comprehensive Emergency Management, Disaster Emergency Services, Emergency and Disaster Services).

**Emergency Operations Center**: The location or facility where responsible officials gather during an emergency to direct and coordinate emergency operations, to communicate with other jurisdictions and with field emergency forces, and to formulate protective action decisions and recommendations during an emergency.

**Flood hydrograph**: Graph showing the discharge, height, or other characteristic of a flood with respect to time for a given point on a stream.

**Flood routing**: Process of determining progressively, over time, the amplitude of a flood wave as it moves past an impoundment or downstream to successive points along a river or stream.

**Hazard potential**: Situation that creates the potential for adverse consequences, such as loss of life, property damage, or other adverse impact. Impacts may be for a defined area downstream of an impoundment from floodwaters released through spillways and outlet works of the impoundment or waters released by partial or complete failure of the impoundment. They may also be for an area upstream of the impoundment from the effects of backwater flooding or the effects of landslides around the reservoir perimeter.

**Headwater**: Water immediately upstream from an impoundment. The water surface elevation varies due to fluctuations in inflow and the amount of water passed through the impoundment.

**Incident**: An incident in terms of impoundment operation includes an impending or actual sudden release of water caused by an accident to, or failure of, an impoundment or other water retaining structure, or the result of an impending flood condition when the impoundment is not in danger of failure, or any condition

that may affect the safe operation of the impoundment. The release of water may or may not endanger human life, downstream property and structures, or facility operations.

**Impoundment Failure**: Catastrophic type of failure characterized by the sudden, rapid, and uncontrolled release of impounded water. There are lesser degrees of failure, but any malfunction or abnormality outside the design assumptions and parameters that adversely affect an impoundment's primary function of impounding water is properly considered a failure. Lesser degrees of failure can progressively lead to or heighten the risk of a catastrophic failure. They are, however, normally amendable to corrective action.

**Inflow Design Flood (IDF)**: Flow used in the design of an impoundment and its appurtenant works, particularly for sizing the spillway and outlet works, and for determining the maximum height of the impoundment, freeboard, and temporary storage requirements. The IDF is typically the flow above which the incremental increase in water surface elevation due to failure of an impoundment is no longer considered to present an unacceptable threat to downstream life or property. The upper limit of an IDF is the Probable Maximum Flood.

Inundation map: Map delineating areas that would be flooded as a result of an impoundment failure.

**Inundation zone**: Area downstream of the impoundment that could be inundated by the released water. This zone is typically demarcated by a boundary reflecting the vertical elevation of the peak flow of water for both a flood failure and non-failure situation.

**Notification**: To inform appropriate individuals about an emergency condition so they can take appropriate action.

**Owner**: Entity that owns the impoundment and associated facilities. The owner also includes the operator and operating organization.

**Probable Maximum Flood (PMF)**: Flood that may be expected from the most severe combination of critical meteorological and hydrologic conditions that is reasonably possible in the drainage basin under study.

aecom.com